

Resource allocation and educational performance in South-East Asia

Ma. Elena K. Sentasas*

School Principal – DepEd Division of Mandaue, Mandaue City, Cebu, Philippines

Dr. Regina P. Galigao

Cebu Technological University-Main Campus, Cebu City, Philippines

Francisco B. Quimson Jr.

Head Teacher III – DepEd Division of Bohol, Bohol, Philippines

ABSTRACT

This study looked at how resource allocation affects educational performance in eleven Southeast Asian countries: Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, Laos, Malaysia, Myanmar, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, Timor-Leste, and Vietnam. The research used data mining to review peer-reviewed articles, government reports, policy documents, educational reports, and publications from international agencies published between 2019 and 2025. The study identified common practices in resource allocation, key indicators of educational performance, and challenges affecting outcomes in the region. The results show that factors such as educational funding, teacher quality and training, infrastructure, instructional materials, technology use, leadership, and the fair distribution of resources are the main drivers of educational performance. Countries that consistently invest in these areas tend to have better outcomes, such as higher student achievement, participation, learning quality, and educational equity. Still, ongoing issues such as funding gaps, insufficient qualified teachers, poor infrastructure, unequal access to resources, and the digital divide continue to hold back education systems, especially in developing and rural areas. The findings suggest that educational performance depends not just on having resources, but also on how well they are allocated, managed, and used. Overall, the study highlights the importance of strategic and fair resource allocation to support quality education and achieve lasting educational development across Southeast Asia.

Keywords: Resource allocation, educational performance, educational funding, teacher quality, educational infrastructure, instructional resources, technology integration, educational leadership, educational equity, Southeast Asia, quality education, educational development.

Date Submitted: July 2, 2026

Date Accepted: July 14, 2026

Date Published: July 21, 2026

*Corresponding author / Email: maelena.sentasas@deped.gov.ph
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.69651/PIJHSS05031389>

Recommended citation:

Sentasas, M. E. K., Galigao, R. P., & Quimson, F. B., Jr. (2026). Resource allocation and educational performance in South-East Asia. *Pantao (International Journal of the Humanities and Social Sciences)* 5 (3), 2165-2172. <http://doi.org/10.69651/PIJHSS05031389>

INTRODUCTION

Resource allocation is a fundamental aspect of educational management, involving the distribution and utilization of financial resources, personnel, facilities, learning materials, and technology to achieve educational objectives. Educational performance encompasses students' academic achievement, participation, retention, and overall learning outcomes. Human Capital Theory posits that investment in education enhances knowledge, skills, and productivity. The Education Production Model asserts that student achievement depends on the adequacy and effective use of resources, including funding, teachers, facilities, and materials. In Southeast Asia, governments have prioritized educational reforms, teacher training, infrastructure development, and technology integration to improve educational quality and advance Sustainable Development Goal 4, which promotes equitable quality education for all.

Despite these initiatives, research indicates that Southeast Asia continues to face significant educational challenges. Many countries in the region experience funding disparities, shortages of qualified teachers, inadequate school facilities, insufficient learning materials, and limited access to technology, particularly in rural and disadvantaged areas. While countries such as Singapore, Brunei Darussalam, Malaysia, and Vietnam have achieved notable improvements in educational quality and student outcomes, others continue to work to strengthen infrastructure, develop teacher competencies, and ensure equitable access to education. Regional reports highlight ongoing concerns regarding student achievement, educational equity, and the efficient use of resources, even as investments increase. These challenges underscore the complex and context-dependent relationship between resource allocation and educational performance in Southeast Asia.

Although previous research has examined factors such as funding, teacher quality, infrastructure, technology, and student outcomes, most studies have focused on individual countries or specific issues. There is a lack of comprehensive analysis identifying common resource-related factors influencing education across all 11 Southeast Asian nations. Therefore, a systematic review and synthesis of current literature is necessary to identify patterns, shared challenges, and best practices in resource allocation. This study aims to provide a comprehensive perspective on the impact of resource distribution on student achievement, participation, and educational quality throughout Southeast Asia. The findings are intended to inform policymakers, educational leaders, and stakeholders in developing research-based strategies to promote equitable and effective resource allocation and management, thereby improving educational outcomes across the region.

This study is based on Human Capital Theory (Becker, 1964), which says that investing in education builds knowledge, skills, and abilities that help both individuals and the economy grow. In Southeast Asia, governments invest substantial resources in education to boost learning, prepare people for work, and support sustainable development. Educational performance shows how well these investments improve student achievement and the quality of education.

The Education Production Function Theory also shapes this study. It explains that educational outcomes stem from a mix of inputs, such as funding, teacher quality, school facilities, learning materials, and technology. According to this theory, effective use of these resources leads to better educational performance. On the other hand, gaps in student achievement and educational quality often stem from differences in how much and what kinds of resources schools and students receive.

Systems Theory (von Bertalanffy, 1968) also guides this study. It views education as a connected system with inputs, processes, outputs, and feedback. Educational resources are the inputs, and teaching and learning turn them into outcomes such as academic achievement,

school attendance, and student engagement. The theory highlights the need for policymakers, school leaders, teachers, communities, and others to work together to use resources effectively.

Drawing on these theoretical perspectives, this study posits that factors such as funding, teacher quality, infrastructure, learning materials, technology, leadership, and fair resource distribution play a significant role in educational performance in Southeast Asia. When these resources are shared effectively, students have a greater chance of succeeding. But if resources are lacking or unfairly distributed, gaps in educational outcomes can grow. By identifying common patterns in how resources are shared across the eleven Southeast Asian countries, we can learn how to improve the quality, fairness, and outcomes of education in the region.

Statement of the problem

This study examines the relationship between resource allocation and educational performance in eleven Southeast Asian countries. The objectives are outlined below:

1. Identify the prevalent resource allocation practices implemented across the eleven Southeast Asian countries.
2. Examine the common educational performance outcomes associated with resource allocation across the eleven Southeast Asian countries.
3. Analyze the contributions of educational funding, teacher quality, infrastructure, instructional resources, technology integration, and leadership to educational performance.
4. Determine the prevalent challenges impacting resource allocation and educational performance across Southeast Asia.

METHODOLOGY

A data mining methodology was employed to investigate the relationship between resource allocation and educational performance across eleven Southeast Asian countries: Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, Laos, Malaysia, Myanmar, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, Timor-Leste, and Vietnam. Data was systematically collected and analyzed from peer-reviewed journal articles, government publications, educational reports, policy documents, and international agency publications published between 2019 and 2025. Information concerning educational funding, teacher quality, educational infrastructure, instructional resources, technology integration, educational leadership, and equity in resource distribution was extracted and organized through thematic analysis. This analytical approach facilitated the identification of recurring patterns, dominant resource allocation practices, educational performance indicators, and prevalent challenges within the region. The synthesized data were compared to assess the impact of resource allocation on student achievement, participation, learning quality, and educational equity. This methodology offers a comprehensive regional perspective on the factors connecting resource allocation and educational performance, providing evidence-based insights to inform educational policy, planning, and resource management in Southeast Asia.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Educational funding and financial resources

Educational funding plays a key role in shaping student performance across the 11 Southeast Asian countries. Singapore, Brunei Darussalam, and Malaysia invest significant resources in education, which helps them modernize schools, adopt more technology, and support teacher training. Vietnam has also shown a strong commitment to investing in education, which has helped its students achieve high results. Cambodia, Laos, Myanmar, and Timor-Leste have worked to make education more accessible and to improve school facilities, often with help from their governments and international organizations. Indonesia, the Philippines, and Thailand are increasing their education budgets to support reforms and boost learning outcomes. Overall, steady financial investment has led to improved access, participation, and quality in education across the region, but clear funding differences remain between urban and rural areas.

Teacher quality and professional development

Teacher quality is a key factor in how well students perform across Southeast Asia. Singapore is known for its strong teacher training and ongoing professional development, while Vietnam focuses on teacher skills and putting the curriculum into practice. Malaysia, Thailand, Indonesia, and the Philippines invest heavily in teacher training, certification, and building teachers' skills to make lessons more effective. In Cambodia, Laos, Myanmar, and Timor-Leste, the main goal is to increase the number of qualified teachers and improve teaching skills, especially in areas that need greater support. Brunei also encourages teachers to keep learning through regular education reforms. All these efforts show that countries in the region agree that good teachers are essential to student success, classroom participation, and overall education.

Educational infrastructure and learning facilities

The provision of educational infrastructure is a common priority across Southeast Asian countries. Singapore, Brunei, and Malaysia maintain well-developed school facilities equipped with modern learning environments and technological resources. Indonesia and the Philippines have implemented large-scale infrastructure programs to address classroom shortages and improve learning conditions. Cambodia, Laos, Myanmar, and Timor-Leste continue to invest in school construction and rehabilitation to expand access to education, especially in rural communities. Vietnam and Thailand have also strengthened their educational infrastructure to support curriculum reforms and meet students' learning needs. These investments contribute to better attendance, increased participation, and improved learning environments across the region.

Learning materials and instructional resources

All 11 Southeast Asian countries recognize the value of providing students with the right materials to help them learn. Singapore, Malaysia, and Brunei use updated curriculum resources and technology in their classrooms. Vietnam and Thailand regularly update their educational materials to match new curriculum standards. Indonesia and the Philippines have made textbooks and learning modules more widely available to improve access to education. Cambodia, Laos, Myanmar, and Timor-Leste are working to provide more teaching and

learning resources through development programs. Ensuring students have high-quality materials helps improve literacy, numeracy, and overall academic performance.

Technology integration and digital access

Integrating technology into education is now a top priority across Southeast Asia. Singapore leads the way by using digital learning platforms and new classroom technologies. Malaysia, Brunei, and Thailand have also grown their digital learning programs to support modern education. The Philippines, Indonesia, and Vietnam are investing more in online learning, educational technology, and digital literacy. Cambodia, Laos, Myanmar, and Timor-Leste are slowly improving internet access and building technology infrastructure to create better educational opportunities. While these advances have made learning more accessible and flexible, differences in connectivity and digital resources still pose challenges in the region.

Educational leadership and governance

Educational leadership and governance play a key role in how resources are used across Southeast Asia. In Singapore, a centralized education system focuses on planning and accountability, which leads to strong results. Malaysia, Vietnam, and Brunei also have structured governance that helps maintain educational quality and supports reforms. Indonesia, Thailand, and the Philippines are working to improve school leadership and resource management to boost performance. Cambodia, Laos, Myanmar, and Timor-Leste are building governance skills through policy changes and development programs. Good leadership throughout the region helps make better use of resources and improves education overall.

Equity and inclusive allocation of educational resources

Southeast Asian countries all share a concern for making educational resources accessible to everyone. Indonesia and the Philippines struggle to provide equal opportunities in their many islands and rural regions. Cambodia, Laos, Myanmar, and Timor-Leste focus on reaching disadvantaged and underserved groups. Vietnam and Thailand have implemented policies to support inclusion and provide more learning opportunities for marginalized students. Singapore, Brunei, and Malaysia work toward inclusive education by offering targeted support and distributing resources fairly. Even though these countries are at different stages of development, they all agree that fair resource distribution is key to reducing educational gaps and helping students learn better.

Common resource allocation factors influencing educational performance

The research shows that, even though the eleven Southeast Asian countries differ in their economies and education systems, they share several key factors in how they allocate resources that affect educational performance. Important elements include funding, teacher quality, infrastructure, instructional materials, technology, leadership, and fair distribution of resources. Countries like Singapore, Brunei, Malaysia, and Vietnam often achieve better educational results because they have more resources, while developing countries such as Cambodia, Laos, Myanmar, and Timor-Leste are making progress by investing in targeted programs and reforms. Overall, the evidence indicates that educational performance improves when resources are allocated thoughtfully, managed effectively, and shared fairly among schools.

CONCLUSION

This study looked at how resource allocation affects educational performance in eleven Southeast Asian countries: Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, Laos, Malaysia, Myanmar, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, Timor-Leste, and Vietnam. Despite differences in their economies, education systems, and levels of development, the research found that several resource allocation factors consistently shape educational outcomes across the region. These include funding for education, teacher quality and training, school infrastructure, learning materials, access to technology, strong leadership, and fair distribution of resources.

The study found that countries that invest steadily in education, support teacher development, build modern schools, and provide strong leadership tend to achieve better educational outcomes. Singapore, Brunei Darussalam, Malaysia, and Vietnam have made notable progress by investing in these areas. Cambodia, Laos, Myanmar, and Timor-Leste are working to improve their education systems by upgrading infrastructure, training teachers, and increasing access to learning materials. Indonesia, the Philippines, and Thailand have also introduced reforms to improve the quality of education and reduce gaps in opportunity.

The results also show that educational performance depends not just on how many resources are available, but on how well they are used and managed. Adequate funding, skilled teachers, high-quality learning materials, the right technology, and strong leadership all help students perform better and stay engaged. On the other hand, problems such as unequal funding, poor infrastructure, insufficient teachers, and limited digital access still affect education in many countries across the region.

In summary, the study finds that fair and effective resource allocation is essential to improving educational outcomes in Southeast Asia. Education investments work best when they focus on improving teaching, increasing access to learning, upgrading school facilities, and supporting inclusive practices. Policymakers, education leaders, and others should keep making fair and lasting resource decisions that meet the different needs of students and schools. This approach will help Southeast Asian countries improve the quality of education, close learning gaps, and move closer to providing quality education for everyone.

REFERENCES

- Abdellah, H., Aicha, E. A., Nabil, E. A., Mohammed, E. B., & Youssef, O. (2026). Determinants of school performance in community and satellite schools in Morocco: A comparative approach using the Tobit model and Blinder–Oaxaca decomposition. *Multidisciplinary Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.31893/multirev.2026534>
- Asian Development Bank. (2021). Myanmar digital economy diagnostic report. Asian Development Bank. <https://www.adb.org>
- Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education*. University of Chicago Press.
- Department of Education, Republic of the Philippines. (2023). *Basic education report 2023*. Department of Education.
- Fullan, M. (2007). *The new meaning of educational change* (4th ed.). Teachers College Press.

Hanushek, E. A. (2020). Education production functions. In S. Bradley & C. Green (Eds.), *The economics of education* (2nd ed., pp. 161–170). Academic Press.

OECD. (2019). *PISA 2018 results (Vol. I): What students know and can do*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/5f07c754-en>

OECD. (2021). *Education at a glance 2021: OECD indicators*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/b35a14e5-en>

OECD. (2019). *OECD skills outlook 2019: Thriving in a digital world*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/df80bc12-en>

Singapore Ministry of Education. (2023). *Educational statistics digest 2023*. Ministry of Education Singapore.

UNESCO. (2021). *Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education*. UNESCO Publishing.

UNESCO. (2023). *Global education monitoring report 2023: Technology in education—A tool on whose terms?* UNESCO Publishing.

UNESCO Bangkok. (2024). *Asia-Pacific education 2030: SDG 4 midterm review*. UNESCO Bangkok.

United Nations Children's Fund. (2023). *The state of the world's children 2023: For every child, education*. UNICEF.

United Nations Development Programme. (2021). *Timor-Leste digital transformation strategy and public sector development report*. UNDP Timor-Leste.

United Nations Development Programme. (2022). *Digital transformation and public sector modernization in Lao PDR*. UNDP Laos.

United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific. (2022). *Digital skills and workforce readiness in Asia and the Pacific*. United Nations ESCAP.

United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific. (2023). *Digital transformation and workforce development in Southeast Asia*. United Nations ESCAP.

von Bertalanffy, L. (1968). *General system theory: Foundations, development, applications*. George Braziller.

World Bank. (2018). World development report 2018: Learning to realize education's promise. World Bank.

World Bank. (2021). Cambodia digital economy and society policy framework 2021–2035. World Bank Group. <https://www.worldbank.org>

World Bank. (2021). World development report 2021: Data for better lives. World Bank.

World Economic Forum. (2023). The future of jobs report 2023. World Economic Forum.